

Community Regeneration Funding Assessment Criteria Matrix	Green	Amber	Red	Rating
<p>Project Robustness Is the project ready to start and deliverable within the required timescale? To what extent have risks been considered and mitigated? Consider requirements for match funding/permissions/control of asset</p>	Well planned project with risks adequately addressed. All requirements in place to enable project start if grant approved	Some outstanding requirements to be addressed but adequate/realistic plan in place to address these	Significant outstanding requirements or inadequate consideration of risks to delivery	
<p>Engagement and Support Extent of appropriate community and stakeholder engagement, support and participation, in relation to the nature/scale of the project.</p>	There is strong evidence of engagement with stakeholders and strong evidence of their support for the project. Where appropriate there is evidence of engagement with and support from the wider community.	There is some evidence of engagement with stakeholders and where appropriate the wider community, and evidence of their support for the project	There is limited, anecdotal or out of date evidence that some stakeholders have been engaged and support the project	
<p>Meeting a Need or Demand/Market Demand Extent to which the project is responding to evidence of community need or gap in provision, or in the case of a business assessing the extent to which the applicant has undertaken research to demonstrate they are responding to a market opportunity or a gap in provision</p>	The applicant has presented strong and comprehensive evidence of need, or gap in provision	The applicant has presented some evidence of need or gap in provision	The applicant has presented limited evidence of need or gap in provision	
<p>Legacy & Exit Strategy Extent to which the project/business will deliver impact/benefit beyond the funding period and where applicable, there is a clear exit strategy so that this impact/benefit can be delivered without future grant dependency</p>	The applicant has identified strong long term benefits for the community or presented convincing evidence of long term viability. Where appropriate the project includes a clear exit strategy	The proposed activity is likely to have some impact beyond the end of the funding period or the applicant has provided evidence of some long term viability. Where appropriate has provided an exit strategy	There is little identifiable legacy and/or the exit strategy is unclear or evidence of long term viability is weak.	
<p>Equality Extent to which the project/business can demonstrate a positive impact for individuals in groups with protected characteristics and/or can demonstrate that it will advance</p>	The project specifically aims to benefit people with protected characteristics or demonstrates clear	Good consideration of equalities has been demonstrated and where relevant, measurable impact for	There has been limited consideration of equalities demonstrated and where relevant, the	

equality of opportunity, in relation to the nature/scale of the project	consideration of equalities and identifies strong, measurable and direct impacts	any groups has been identified	proposed impact on people in target groups is minimal/not directly measurable	
Environmental impact Extent to which a project has considered impact on the environment and/or environmental sustainability	Project specifically aims to address the climate emergency or has evidenced appropriate measures to mitigate against environmental damage	Good consideration of environmental sustainability and/or plans in place to mitigate against environmental damage	Limited consideration of environmental sustainability or no plans considered to mitigate environmental damage	
Value for Money The extent of economic/community development to be delivered by the project in relation to the level of CRF grant requested	The project demonstrates a significant level of benefit in relation to the level of CRF funding requested	The project demonstrates a level of benefit proportionate to the level of CRF funding requested	The benefit looks to be low in relation to the level of CRF funding requested	
Additionality If CRF funding is not awarded will the project happen anyway or happen at a reduced scale/quality	Without CRF funding the project will not proceed	Very limited elements may be able to proceed	Project will be able to proceed but reduced scope/scale/quality or over a longer timescale	